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The proliferative activity of a tissue is a direct result of the stimulatory and inhibitory growth signals received by the cells which compose it. In cancer these messages come from activation of a number of oncogenes, probably accompanied by inactivation of one or more tumour suppressor genes and therefore knowledge of proliferative activity helps us to understand the biology of tumours. The tumour growth rate is not only a consequence of the proliferative activity but also depends on the number of cells undergoing programmed cell death (apoptosis) and necrosis. Proliferation has been studied far more extensively than apoptosis and can be used to predict prognosis and aid patient management and treatment selection. The value of proliferative activity as a prognostic factor and the methods used to measure it are still matters of debate. Several alternative methods of measurement are now available but the method of choice will depend upon the particular application required, as a marker for one tissue may not be suitable for another.

All markers identify individual cells and either measure, demonstrate or reflect a particular phase or phases of the cell cycle. The cell cycle has been well documented and primarily consists of stages G1, S, G2, M, and a resting stage G0. In G1 and G2 phases cytoplasmic proteins, organelles and RNA are synthesised. In S phase DNA is replicated and in M phase the cell undergoes mitosis. Cells can pass from G1 into a resting phase, G0, from which they can re-enter the cell cycle. The time taken for the cell to complete a single cycle varies considerably but it is the G1 phase that defines the length of the cycle. Phases S, G2, and M remain relatively constant: S phase lasts between seven and 12 hours, G2 phase between one and six hours, and mitosis from one to two hours. Therefore, fewer cells are demonstrated with a marker which detects only one of these short phases of the cell cycle.

During the cell cycle there are many checkpoints which control the progression of cells from one phase to the next and disruption of these checkpoints can lead to uncontrolled proliferation, aneuploidy and malignancy. Furthermore, under the influence of different oncogenes and tumour suppressor genes, proliferating cells can also be induced to undergo apoptosis.

Proliferation rate is a term frequently used to describe the speed at which cells are growing and reproducing in a histological section. However, the term “rate” should only apply to cellular changes which are measured over a period of time, such as when using in vivo bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU) labelling. The terms “activity” or “index” are more appropriate for describing tumour kinetics when using markers on a static cell population, such as tissue sections. Whatever method of measuring proliferation is chosen, it must fulfil a number of criteria.

Requirements of the method of measurement
Firstly, the method should be informative and relevant and, secondly, it should be reliable and reproducible. Consistent results must be obtained not only in the laboratory where the method was developed, but also in other laboratories which adopt its use. If the technique is to be applied to a large number of tissue samples it should be relatively inexpensive and, most importantly, the end result should be easy to evaluate and quantify.

Irrespective of the particular choice of method there are a number of technical problems which must be considered. The first is the type of tissue to be studied. In the past it was only possible to measure proliferation on fresh or frozen tissue. However, techniques have been adapted and antibodies are now available which can be used on fixed tissue, enabling retrospective studies to be carried out on archival paraffin wax blocks. Nevertheless, attention must still be paid to the method of fixation as delay in getting the tissues into fixative, prolonged fixation time and the type of fixative can all have profound effects on the results.12 The main problem, however, is tumour heterogeneity, the magnitude of which obviously varies between different types of tissues. Thus, care must also be taken to ensure that sampling has been adequate. When correctly carried out, fine needle aspiration samples the tissue widely, by ensuring that the tip of the needle is moved into different areas of the lesion whilst aspirating. Needle core biopsies, although containing more cells than aspirates, may not be fully representative unless the lesion has been sampled in more than one plane. The adequacy of the tissue sections depends on selection of material from the excised lesion and whether this is representative of the tissue as a whole.
There are also problems with the method of evaluation, particularly determination of how many cells need to be counted to obtain a consistent result. For each method this varies in accordance with the proportion of labelled cells. Formulae have been derived which can be used to determine the cell count required to distinguish between two levels of proliferative activity with differing amounts of associated error. In tissue sections there is much debate as to which area should be selected for evaluation. Should measurements be taken at the leading edge of the tumour, presumably the most biologically active area, or does this lead to observer bias and is it better to use random selection of fields? There is also a serious danger of observer fatigue if it takes a long time to count the proliferating cells. This problem is overcome by using flow cytometry but at the expense of morphometry. Image analysis systems have also been developed to standardise evaluation but in the past these have not really been adequate; however, improvements in both hardware and software have recently been made and these systems may become of practical use in the near future.

Mitotic activity index
This is the oldest way of measuring proliferative activity. It is very cheap as mitoses can be counted in routinely fixed and processed haematoxylin and eosin sections and if performed carefully can give very useful information. The proportion of mitoses has conventionally been assessed as the number of mitotic figures per high power field, with the mean number of mitoses from 10 consecutive high power fields in the most cellular area of the tumour being measured. This method does not take into account differences in cellularity or cell size from one tumour to another. The type of fixative can affect the appearance of mitotic figures, making them look similar to pycnotic nuclei. Alcohol based fixatives not only increase the basophilia of the section as a result of protein precipitation but some can also induce considerable shrinkage of the tissue components. It has been shown at the ICRF Clinical Oncology Unit at Guy's Hospital, London, that there is a significant difference in the number of mitoses per high power field between formal saline and methacarn fixed breast cancers. Several authors have noted a reduction in the number of mitotic figures when fixation is delayed, although they gave different explanations for these findings. It is important to remember that delayed fixation can occur in large or very cellular specimens despite being placed in fixative within a reasonable space of time. It takes some time for the fixative to both penetrate and then fix the tissues, which can lead to inadequate fixation in the centre.

Variations in cellularity and cell size between tumours can be standardised by assessing the ratio of mitoses to the number of malignant cells. With this method the large discrepancies noted in the area of a high power field between microscopes no longer have any affect. Demonstration of mitoses is inexpensive and technically easy to perform but it is important that they are accurately identified and not confused with pycnotic nuclei. Assessing the frequency of mitoses as a ratio of malignant cells can be time-consuming, although this can be reduced by using a semiquantitative method of evaluation (unpublished observations, Gillett et al, 1994).

Thymidine labelling index
This method of assessing proliferative activity by measuring the uptake of tritiated thymidine during DNA synthesis was first introduced in the 1950s and there have been a small number of strong proponents of this technique. When used well, thymidine labelling appears to fulfil most criteria required for a satisfactory method of measurement. The technique suffers from some disadvantages: it requires small pieces of absolutely fresh tissue and depends on the successful uptake of radioactive thymidine. This uptake is demonstrated by autoradiography which is rather imprecise, while the lengthy exposure time makes the method slow. The representative nature of using and evaluating small pieces of tissue has also been questioned.

Bromodeoxyuridine labelling
A recent improvement to the thymidine labelling technique has been the introduction of BrdU instead of thymidine. Antibodies are available against BrdU, removing the need to use autoradiography. This technique has some very important advantages: again BrdU is incorporated into cells only during S phase but this time labelling can be performed in vivo as well as in vitro. The in vitro method uses small pieces of tissue which incorporate BrdU under high oxygen tension once endogenous thymidine has been blocked. Tissues can then be routinely fixed and embedded in paraffin wax. This, like all other methods of measuring proliferation, addresses the proliferative state of the tissue at the moment it is removed from the body, but by labelling in vivo and then sampling the tissue within a few hours it is possible to measure the rate at which the cells are proliferating within the body. This is performed using flow cytometry to determine which phase of the cell cycle the BrdU labelled cells are in and relating this to the time between injection of BrdU and biopsy. BrdU is sometimes administered to patients as part of their treatment since it enhances the sensitivity of the tumour to radiotherapy. However, if the technique is to be used specifically for measuring proliferative activity ethical committee approval would be needed.

Nucleolar organiser regions
Nucleolar organiser regions (NORs) had a brief period of interest as a potential diagnostic or prognostic tool. The number of NORs present within the nucleolus was thought to reflect the production of ribosomal components and hence protein synthesis occurring within the
cell. The technique was independently described in 1975 by both Goodpasture and Bloom\textsuperscript{13} and Howell \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{14} using cell lines. A modified method for use on paraffin wax embedded material was introduced by Ploton \textit{et al.} in 1986.\textsuperscript{15} Thus, the main advantage of this technique was that NORs could be measured in fixed material using a simple silver solution, which at the time made it one of the few methods which could use routine, diagnostic material.\textsuperscript{16} However, some of the early proponents of the method only assessed 200 cells and some papers only referred to measuring 50, which is a very small proportion of the entire tumour and is unlikely to be representative. Even recent publications have only evaluated 50 to 100 cells.\textsuperscript{17,18} NORs are difficult to identify, time-consuming to count and do not have a consistently proven correlation with other measures of proliferative activity or prognosis.

**Immunohistochemical methods**

There have been several developments in this area in recent years. The first immunohistochemical method of measuring proliferation used the Ki67 antibody in frozen sections and cytological preparations. The Ki67 antigen is present in G1, S, G2, and M phases of the cell cycle and the results obtained with this antibody fulfilled most of the criteria necessary for a proliferative marker, except that it could not be used on fixed, paraffin wax embedded material. Recently, a number of other antibodies have become available which recognise proliferation associated antigens and with technical advances, such as antigen exposure following pretreatment in a microwave oven or pressure cooker, can be used on fixed material. Monoclonal MIB1\textsuperscript{19} and polyclonal Ki67 antibodies both recognise parts of the Ki67 antigen which survive the fixation process. The KiS1 antibody recognises another cell cycle associated antigen which is expressed at increasing levels during DNA synthesis and reaches a peak in mitosis, and has been shown to be prognostically useful.\textsuperscript{20} Proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA) has received a lot of attention, particularly as it was one of the first antibodies which could be used on paraffin wax embedded material. However, studies have shown that PCNA has a dual role in cell replication and DNA repair.\textsuperscript{21} These two functions contribute to the conflicting data about the value of measuring proliferation with PCNA antibodies. Whilst some authors have shown it to be useful in lymphoid tissue,\textsuperscript{22} others have found it unreliable in solid tumours.\textsuperscript{23} Both KiS1 and PCNA have relatively long half lives, which means that the antigen can still be detected some time after protein production has ceased. Expression of PCNA can also be induced by growth factors in normal and benign cells which are in the vicinity of a malignant lesion.\textsuperscript{24} Hence, more cells are labelled than are actually proliferating.

Currently, there is a wealth of antibodies being developed to other cell cycle associated antigens. For most, their role in measuring proliferative activity has yet to be fully evaluated.

**Flow cytometry**

Since the 1960s, the flow cytometer has evolved from its basic cell counting function. Now its main roles are the measurement of both nuclear DNA and the uptake of fluorescence labelled antibodies. The assessment of ploidy and S phase fraction are well documented and material from aspirates, fresh tissue and fixed, paraffin wax embedded tissue all produce valid results.\textsuperscript{25} The advantages of flow cytometry include the assessment of thousands of cells within a short space of time and in an objective manner. The disadvantages are that cell suspensions have to be made and, therefore, morphology is lost. In about one quarter of cases the DNA histograms cannot be interpreted because there are too many incomplete or poorly preserved nuclei. Furthermore, the initial cost of the machine is high. However, when flow cytometry is carried out well there is a strong correlation between the S phase fraction, ploidy and prognosis.\textsuperscript{26}

**Conclusion**

Interest in measuring proliferative activity has increased exponentially in recent years and as a consequence many new antibodies have been developed to different cell cycle associated antigens. This has been further stimulated by the ability to expose antigens by pretreatment in microwave ovens, pressure cookers or autoclaves. The ideal antigen for demonstration would be one with a short half life, which rapidly switches on during part of the cell cycle and then quickly disappears when no longer required. It can only be a matter of time before such an antigen is identified and antibodies generated against it. A possible type of candidate may be a histone protein which is synthesised at the same time as DNA.\textsuperscript{27} Probes are already available to the histone messenger RNA, enabling detection of cells in S phase using in situ hybridisation.

Despite many recent advances, inconsistencies remain with the measurement of proliferation, mainly because of differences in the accuracy of the methods of evaluation. Improved tissue preparation and automated analysis will certainly be the way forward. Already, some image analysis systems are available which, with improved programming and using artificial intelligence, can identify mitotic figures by defined characteristics with a certain degree of accuracy. Similarly, labelled nuclei, whether by antibodies, thymidine or BrdU, can be detected with image analysis but the majority of systems are still not very quick at assessing the number of cells needed to take into account the effects of heterogeneity. Currently, the most reliable, practical and useful methods of measuring proliferative activity in histological material are by counting either the fraction of mitoses or MIB1/Ki67 labelled nuclei. Although both have their disadvantages, these
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methods have been widely shown to provide useful prognostic information.28-32
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