

p53 gene mutations, and CYP1A1 and GSTM1 genotypes in pulmonary squamous cell carcinomas

Susumu Ohshima, Ying Xu

Abstract

To investigate mechanisms causing p53 mutations in lung cancer cases, relations between p53 gene mutations and aetiological factors such as smoking history or family history of cancers were studied in 35 primary lung cancer cases. The contribution of genotypes related to carcinogen metabolism (CYP1A1 and GSTM1) was also analysed. p53 mutations were observed in 13 cases (37.5%). Seven (53.8%) of the 13 patients with p53 mutation compared with five (22.7%) of 22 patients without had a family history of cancer. However, there was no significant relation between p53 mutation or family history of cancer and CYP1A1 or GSTM1 genotypes. In conclusion, p53 mutation might be associated with the inherited characteristics that result in familial aggregation of lung cancer; however, this association was not explained by genotypes of enzymes related to carcinogen metabolisms.

(J Clin Pathol: Mol Pathol 1997;50:108-110)

Keywords: lung cancer; p53 mutation; inherited factors

p53 gene mutations appear to be the most common genetic defects identified in human malignancies, including lung cancer, and they may be caused by a pathway through which environmental carcinogens initiate mutation.^{1,2} Although precise mechanisms causing p53 mutation are unknown, some investigators suggested a causal effect of carcinogens in tobacco smoke³ and inherited factors.¹ There is no clear information on the possible aetiological factors and genetic basis for p53 mutations in lung cancer. Among genetic factors that might be associated with lung cancer, genotypes CYP1A1 and GSTM1 have been linked to high risk of pulmonary squamous cell carcinoma, especially in the Japanese population.³ The polymorphic mutation of CYP1A1 gene from adenine to guanine in exon 7 is an amino acid substitution of isoleucine to valine (Ile→Val), and the prevalence of this genotype was significantly higher among lung cancer patients in Japan³ and in a German group.⁴ Some studies have indicated a positive correlation between the GSTM1 null genotype and pulmonary carcinogenesis, especially squamous cell carcinoma.⁵ CYP1A1 and GSTM1 are involved in the biotransformation of tobacco derived polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and detoxification reactions,

respectively. It is, therefore, postulated that individuals with a CYP1A1 mutation and GSTM1 null genotype should have a higher PAH-DNA adduct level in lung tissue, which can induce genetic mutations, such as in the p53 gene, that lead to an increased risk of cancer.

In this study, we investigated p53 gene mutations in lung cancer patients to assess their correlation with aetiological factors such as smoking or family history of cancer. We also analysed the contribution of genotypes CYP1A1 and GSTM1.

Methods

Formalin fixed paraffin wax embedded tissues of 35 pulmonary squamous cell carcinomas were obtained from the Saitama Medical School Hospital in Japan. Data on sex, age, occupational history, smoking history, and family history of cancer were obtained from patients' files. The mean (SD) age of the patients was 65 (7) years.

DNA was isolated from the 10 µm deparaffinised sections according to a previously reported method.⁶ Exons 4-8 of the p53 gene were amplified by nested polymerase chain reaction (PCR) method using two sets of primers for each exon. The PCR products underwent single strand conformation polymorphism (SSCP) analysis⁷ using silver staining for the detection of DNA mutations. PCR products which had abnormal shifted bands in SSCP were inserted into a plasmid vector using a TA cloning kit (Invitrogen, San Diego, CA), and sequencing analysis was performed using the Silver Sequence DNA sequencing system (Promega, Madison, WI).

Genotype CYP1A1 was analysed by PCR-SSCP detection of the Ile→Val substitution. Briefly, genomic DNA was amplified using nested PCR with primers designed to include this mutation position; amplified fragments underwent SSCP analysis to detect CYP1A1 polymorphism. The bands detected in SSCP were identified by comparison with results of allele specific PCR on the same specimens.

Genotype GSTM1 was determined by differential PCR in which multiple genes are coamplified in the same reaction tube. PCR was performed using GSTM1 primers, p53 exon 6 primers, and CYP1A1 primers. Coamplification of p53 exon 6 and CYP1A1 gene that produce 216 and 106 base pair bands, respectively, were used as internal controls. Intact GSTM1 allele gave a 165 base pair

Second Department of Pathology, Saitama Medical School, Moroyama, Iruma-gun, Saitama 350-34, Japan
S Ohshima
Y Xu

Correspondence to:
Dr Ohshima,
email: sohshima@saitama-med.ac.jp

Accepted for publication
25 February 1997

Table 1 Patient data and results of analysis on p53 gene mutations

No	Sex	Age	Stage	Altered codon (exon)	Sequence change	Family history
1	M	58	I	291 (8)	AAG→AGG	Sister (colon), father (stomach)
2	F	43	IV	244 (7)	GGC→AGC	
3	F	62	I	192 (6)	CAG→CAA	
4	M	76	IIIA	248 (7)	CGG→TGG	
5	M	85	I	220 (6)	TAT→TGT	
6	M	74	I	249 (7)	AGG→AGT	Sister (stomach)
7	M	76	II	195 (6)	ATC→TTC	Father (liver)
8	M	68	IIIB	242 (7)	TGC→TTC	Sister (colon)
9	M	68	II	242 (7)	TGC→TTC	
10	M	70	IIIA	144 (5)	CAG→TAG	Grandfather (oesophagus)
11	M	50	IIIB	234, 244 (7)	TAC→TGC, GGC→GGG	
12	M	68	II	234 (7)	TAC→TGC	Mother (liver)
13	M	46	IIIA	221–222 (6)	del (AGCCG)	Sister (breast), father (liver*, stomach)
14	F	59	IIIA			
15	M	61	I			
16	M	64	I			Sister (stomach)
17	M	62	IIIA			
18	M	77	II			
19	M	65	I			
20	M	67	IV			Sister (pancreas)
21	M	59	IIIA			
22	M	53	II			
23	M	73	I			
24	F	67	IIIB			Sister (uterus)
25	M	58	I			
26	M	76	I			
27	M	72	I			
28	M	68	II			
29	M	58	I			
30	M	67	I			
31	M	82	IIIA			
32	M	62	II			Father (oesophagus)
33	M	58	II			Mother (uterus)
34	M	73	II			
35	M	72	I			

* A mutation of p53 gene in exon 5 was detected by SSCP analysis.

product and no visible bands were present in samples from homozygous deletion (GSTM1^{-/-}). One allele deletion (+/-) was included in the “+” allele designation.

Results

In the present study, we focused on exons 4–8 of the p53 gene because 98% of base substitution mutations fall within these exons. Mutations were found in 13 (37.1%) of 35 tumours through SSCP and these were confirmed by DNA sequencing. Of the 13 mutations, one was located in exon 5, four in exon 6, seven in exon 7, and one in exon 8 (table 1). One sample was found to carry two mutations, though only one of them produced an amino acid substitution (case 11). No abnormal bands were found using SSCP analysis for p53 gene of normal tissues. When p53 mutations were present, they were homogeneously distributed throughout the tumours.

Most of our patients had smoked except four patients and their mean (SD) smoking index was 49 (35) packs per year; however, the mutation positive group showed no relation with smoking index.

Hospital records revealed that seven (53.8%) of the 13 patients with p53 mutations had a family history of cancer in first or second degree relatives, but only five (22.7%) of the 22 patients without p53 mutations had a family history of cancer at the time of diagnosis (table 1). Although this difference was not statistically significant for all 13 patients, it became so when male patients were analysed separately ($p < 0.05$, odds ratio 7, 95% confidence intervals (CI) 1.35–36). Anatomic sites of cancers in family members were variable (table 1).

These families were not considered to be associated with Li-Fraumeni syndrome. Furthermore, we analysed gastric carcinoma and hepatoma tissue from the father of case 13 that was obtained in our hospital and p53 mutation in exon 5 was detected in hepatoma tissue.

For CYP1A1 genotypes provided by PCR-SSCP, the frequencies of homozygote predominant alleles (Ile/Ile), heterozygote alleles (Ile/Val), and homozygote rare alleles (Val/Val) were 48.6% (17 cases), 45.7% (16 cases), and 5.7% (two cases), respectively (table 2). The frequencies of GSTM1 null genotype was 54.3% (19 cases) (table 2). We found no significant relation between CYP1A1 or GSTM1 genotypes and p53 mutations, although GSTM1 genotypes in cases with family history tended to be higher (nine of 12, 75%) than cases without family history (10 of 23, 43.5%). Also, no relation emerged between the genotypes and family history of cancer (table 2).

Discussion

Frequency of p53 gene mutation in this study was 37.1% (13 of 35 patients) in primary pulmonary squamous cell carcinomas, which is similar to other studies.^{1,2} All 13 cases in which shifted bands were detected by SSCP in exons 4–8 exhibited mutations by DNA sequence analysis. In our study, 61.5% (eight of 13) of p53 sequence abnormalities were G:C→A:T transitions, in line with Japanese patient mutational spectrum,¹ while only 23% (three of 13) showed G:C→T:A transversions. G→T transversions have been reported to be significantly associated with lifetime cigarette consumption,^{1,2} and those

Table 2 Frequencies of CYP1A1 and GSTM1 genotypes

Groups	No (%)	CYP1A1			GSTM1	
		Ile/Ile	Ile/Val	Val/Val	+	-
p53 mutation +	13 (100)	7 (53.8)	6 (46.2)	0 (0)	8 (61.5)	5 (38.5)
p53 mutation -	22 (100)	10 (45.5)	10 (45.5)	2 (9.1)	8 (36.4)	14 (63.6)
Family history +	12 (100)	6 (50.0)	6 (50.0)	0 (0)	3 (25.0)	9 (75.0)
Family history -	23 (100)	11 (47.8)	10 (43.5)	2 (8.7)	13 (56.5)	10 (43.5)
Total	35 (100)	17 (48.6)	16 (45.7)	2 (5.7)	16 (45.7)	19 (54.3)

+, positive; -, negative.

mutations can be caused by carcinogens like N-nitrosamine or benzo(a)pyrene contained in cigarette smoke.¹ Although most of our patients had smoked, we could not confirm this relation. This discrepancy suggested a possible involvement of genetic features or exposure to different carcinogens among various populations.

Previous epidemiological studies have drawn attention to a tendency for familial aggregation among patients with lung cancer.⁸ The existence of familial susceptibility to cancer provides compelling evidence that genetic factors play an important role in the pathogenesis of lung cancer. In this study, male patients with p53 gene mutations showed a significantly higher frequency of family history of cancer compared with patients without p53 mutation (odds ratio 3.18, 95% CI 1.35–36). In addition, the mutation spectrum did not reflect a carcinogen related G–T transversion. These findings suggest that p53 mutations in our cases might be associated with inherited characteristics rather than exogenous factors such as smoking.

Among factors that are known to be associated with lung cancer susceptibility, genotypes CYP1A1 and GSTM1 have been linked to a high risk of squamous cell carcinoma, especially in the Japanese population.^{3–5} We did not show any significant association between CYP1A1 or GSTM1 genotypes and p53 mutations or family history of cancer. On the contrary, the relation between GSTM1 genotypes and p53 mutations showed an inverse relation between those genotypes and family history. Although the number of cases was small, we concluded that CYP1A1 or GSTM1 genotypes were not asso-

ciated with p53 mutations. We speculated that p53 mutations and family history of cancer may be linked to other factors. Ryberg *et al* reported that individuals homozygous for the GSTM1 null allele were at a higher risk of p53 mutation caused by PAHs.⁹ In addition, Kawajiri *et al* showed an association of CYP1A1 rare allele with mutations of p53 gene in lung cancer.¹⁰ Although the reason for discrepancies between our results and other studies is unknown, a possible explanation may be that most of our patients were heavy smokers so that carcinogen dose may have obscured effects of those genotypes on gene mutations.

In conclusion, our data suggest that p53 mutation in lung cancer patients might be associated with inherited characteristics of the patients leading to familial aggregation of cancer. However, genotypes of carcinogen metabolizing enzymes CYP1A1 or GSTM1 were not related to p53 mutations.

- Greenblatt MS, Bennett WP, Hollstein M, Harris CC. Mutations in the p53 tumor suppressor gene: Clue to cancer etiology and molecular pathogenesis. *Cancer Res* 1994;54:4855–78.
- Suzuki H, Takahashi T, Kuroishi T, Suyama M, Ariyoshi Y, Takahashi T, *et al*. P53 mutations in non-small cell lung cancer in Japan: Association between mutations and smoking. *Cancer Res* 1992;52:734–6.
- Kawajiri K, Nakachi K, Imai K, Watanabe J, Hayashi S. The CYP1A1 gene and cancer susceptibility. *Crit Rev Oncol Hematol* 1993;14:77–87.
- Drakoulis N, Cascorbi I, Brockmoller J, Gross CR, Roots I. Polymorphisms in the human CYP1A1 gene as susceptibility factors for lung cancer: exon-7 mutation (4889A to G), and a T to C mutation in the 3'-flanking region. *Clin Invest* 1994;72:240–8.
- Nakajima T, Elovava E, Auttila S, Hirvonen A, Camus AM, Hayes JD, *et al*. Expression and polymorphism of glutathione s-transferase in human lungs: risk factors in smoking-related lung cancer. *Carcinogenesis* 1995;16:707–11.
- Ohshima S, Shimizu Y, Takahama M. Detection of C-Ki-ras gene mutation in paraffin sections of adenocarcinoma and atypical bronchioloalveolar cell hyperplasia of human lung. *Vichous Archiv* 1994;424:129–34.
- Murakami Y, Hayashi K, Sekiya T. Detection of aberrations of the p53 alleles and the gene transcript in human tumor cell lines by single strand conformation polymorphism analysis. *Cancer Res* 1991;51:3356–61.
- Christine B, Ambrosone MS, Uma Rao, Michalek A, Cummings MK, Mettlin CJ. Lung cancer histologic type and family history of cancer. *Cancer* 1993;72:1192–8.
- Ryberg D, Kure E, Lystad S, Skaug V, Stangeland L, Mercy I, *et al*. P53 mutations in lung tumors: Relationship to putative susceptibility markers for cancer. *Cancer Res* 1994; 54:1551–5
- Kawajiri K, Eguchi H, Nakachi K, Sekiya T, Yamamoto M. Association of CYP1A1 germline polymorphisms with mutation of the p53 gene in lung cancer. *Cancer Res* 1996; 56:72–6.



p53 gene mutations, and CYP1A1 and GSTM1 genotypes in pulmonary squamous cell carcinomas.

S Ohshima and Y Xu

Mol Path 1997 50: 108-110
doi: 10.1136/mp.50.2.108

Updated information and services can be found at:
<http://mp.bmj.com/content/50/2/108>

These include:

Email alerting service

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article. Sign up in the box at the top right corner of the online article.

Notes

To request permissions go to:
<http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions>

To order reprints go to:
<http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform>

To subscribe to BMJ go to:
<http://group.bmj.com/subscribe/>