Expression of mdm2 and p53 in epithelial neoplasms of the colorectum
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Abstract

Aims—To evaluate the respective roles of mdm2 (murine double minute 2) and p53 in the development of colorectal carcinoma.

Methods—Formalin fixed, paraffin wax embedded tissues from 72 sporadic adenomas and 55 carcinomas were investigated by means of immunohistochemistry for mdm2 and p53.

Results—mdm2 was expressed weakly in 17 of 72 (23.6%) adenomas and in 14 of 55 (25.4%) carcinomas. p53 was expressed in 19 of 72 (26.4%) adenomas and in 23 of 55 (41.8%) carcinomas. Four adenomas and five carcinomas showed positive staining for both proteins. Overexpression of p53 in adenomas was associated with moderate and severe dysplasia but not with tumour size. No associations were found between the expression of mdm2 and either the degree of dysplasia or tumour size. In carcinomas, neither the expression of p53 nor mdm2 correlated with Dukes’s stage, metastasis, or differentiation. No associations were found between the expression of p53 and mdm2 in either adenomas or carcinomas.

Conclusions—Although mdm2 has been reported to be an oncogene, it does not appear to play a major role in the development of colorectal carcinoma.
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The mdm2 gene (murine double minute 2) was originally found to be amplified and overexpressed in a mouse BALB/c 3T3 cell line, and was later shown to enhance the tumorigenic potential of cells when overexpressed.1 Thus, mdm2 has been regarded as an oncogene. It has been mapped to chromosome 12q13–14 and encodes an intracellular phosphoprotein that contains p53 binding domains. Analysis of the mdm2 amino acid sequence suggests that it is a transcriptional modulator, and in vitro experiments have found that the gene product can interact with both wild-type and mutant p53.2 It has been shown that loss of the mdm2 gene in mice results in early embryonic lethality in animals carrying a functional p53 gene, but deletion of the p53 gene in mdm2 null mice enables the mice to survive and develop normally, suggesting that mdm2 is an important negative regulator of the growth inhibitory activities of p53 in vivo.3,4 mdm2 has also been reported to interact with transcriptional factor E2F1/DP1 and with pRb (the retinoblastoma gene product), both of which are involved in regulating cell growth.5,6 Amplification or overexpression of mdm2 has been found in a variety of human tumoral and epithelial malignancies such as sarcomas,7 gliomas,8 leukaemias,9 lymphomas,10 and breast and lung carcinomas,11,12 and it has been correlated with an increased potential for malignancy. However, no detailed investigations of the overexpression of mdm2 in colorectal tumours have been reported. To shed light on the role of mdm2 relative to p53 in colorectal cancer, the present study aims to examine the changes in expression of these two proteins in a series of sporadic colorectal adenomas and carcinomas.

Methods

Tissues

Seventy two patients with sporadic adenomas, 55 patients with sporadic adenocarcinomas, and normal mucosa from 16 cases of non-inflamed diverticular disease were selected from the histopathology files of St Mark’s Hospital. Eighty five areas of histologically normal mucosa adjacent to either the adenoma or carcinoma were also analysed in this series. All specimens had been fixed in unbuffered 10% formal saline for 12–24 hours. Serial sections were cut at 4 µm from paraffin wax embedded blocks and placed on poly-L-lysine coated slides. One slide was stained with haematoxylin and eosin for histological classification, and the others were used for immunostaining.

Immunohistochemistry

Immunohistochemistry was performed in this series using a standard ABC method. Briefly, tissue sections were dewaxed in xylene and rehydrated in graded alcohol to distilled water. To block endogenous peroxidase activity, slides were incubated for 30 minutes in 0.3% hydrogen peroxide in methanol. Sections were then subjected to antigen retrieval by boiling for 10 minutes in an aluminum pressure cooker at 15 pounds per square inch in sodium citrate buffer (0.01 M, pH 6.0). Subsequently, non-specific staining was blocked by incubation with normal horse serum for 10 minutes. Following this, anti-mdm2 protein mouse monoclonal antibody (1/70 dilution in phosphate buffered saline (PBS); Novocastra Laboratories, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK) was added to the sections, with incubation overnight at room temperature. A second layer of biotinylated secondary antibody was then applied and followed by a final layer of Vectastain.
Elite ABC kit (1/50 dilution for 30 minutes; Vector Laboratories). 3–3'diaminobenzidine was used as the chromogen. The same procedure was used for p53 using a 1/100 dilution of the monoclonal antibody Do-7 (Dako, High Wycombe, Bucks, UK). Sections from a uterine leiomyosarcoma and a breast carcinoma were used as positive controls for mdm2 and p53, respectively. Negative controls were obtained by replacing the primary antibody with PBS.

SCORING METHODS
The immunohistochemistry slides were evaluated independently by two observers (XPH and TG) who were blind to the categorisation of the tumours. The whole slide was examined and the percentage of tumour cells that showed nuclear staining was scored. Twenty per cent was taken as the cut off point to define positivity for mdm2, and 10% as the threshold of positivity for p53. The two sets of results were correlated and in the event of disagreement the slides were reviewed together and a consensus reached.

STATISTICS
The $\chi^2$ test was used to examine the associations between either mdm2 or p53 expression and clinicopathological factors (such as tumour size, degree of dysplasia, differentiation). Fisher’s exact test was used to assess the correlations between mdm2 and p53 in both adenomas and carcinomas. Statistical significance was defined as $p < 0.05$.

Results
NORMAL TISSUES
Normal mucosa from non-inflamed diverticular disease in 3 of 16 (18.8%) cases, and adjacent to an adenoma or carcinoma in 15 of 85 (17.6%) cases showed positive staining for mdm2 (fig 1A). There was no statistical difference between mdm2 expression in adenomas or carcinomas and adjacent normal epithelial cells (both $p > 0.1$; table 1). Furthermore, 31 of 127 (24.4%) adenomas and carcinomas also contained positively stained lymphocytes and stromal cells, including some smooth muscle cells. In some cases, smooth muscle cells showed nuclear accumulation

Figure 1  (A) Normal epithelial cells and the mononuclear cells in the stroma showing nuclear immunostaining for mdm2.  (B) Smooth muscle cells showing positive nuclear staining while carcinomatous cells show negative staining for mdm2.  (C) Adenomatous epithelium with moderate dysplasia showing nuclear immunostaining for mdm2.  (D) Adenomatous epithelium showing nuclear immunostaining for p53.  (E) Carcinomatous cells showing weak nuclear staining for mdm2. Note the stromal cells showing positive staining.  (F) Carcinomatous cells showing strong nuclear staining for p53.  (Original magnifications ×50.)
Table 1  mdm2 expression in normal, adenomatous, and carcinomatous tissues

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tissue Type</th>
<th>+ve</th>
<th>−ve</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal mucosa</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>&gt;0.1*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adenoma</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>&gt;0.1†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carcinoma</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>&gt;0.75‡</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Normal mucosa v adenoma; †Normal mucosa v carcinoma; ‡Adenoma v carcinoma.

Table 2  Expression of mdm2 and p53 in colorectal adenomas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>mdm2</th>
<th>p53</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>+ve</td>
<td>+ve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−ve</td>
<td>−ve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
<td>p</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3  Correlation between mdm2 and p53 in colorectal adenomas and carcinomas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>mdm2</th>
<th>p53</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>+ve</td>
<td>+ve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−ve</td>
<td>−ve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
<td>p</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4  Expression of mdm2 and p53 in colorectal carcinomas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>mdm2</th>
<th>p53</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>+ve</td>
<td>+ve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−ve</td>
<td>−ve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
<td>p</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5  Expression of p53 in carcinomas and adenomas with moderate and severe dysplasia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tissue Type</th>
<th>+ve</th>
<th>−ve</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adenomas</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>&gt;0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carcinomas</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>&gt;0.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion

Using immunohistochemistry, the mdm2 protein was detected in 23.6% of adenomas and 26.4% of carcinomas. This is less frequent than is found in breast (40.9%) and bronchogenic carcinomas (63%).

Carcinomas

Fourteen of the 55 carcinomas (25.4%) expressed mdm2 (fig 1E), while 23 (41.8%) were positive for p53 (fig 1F) (table 4). Five cases (9.1%) were immunoreactive for both proteins (table 3). Neither mdm2 nor p53 were associated with clinicopathological factors such as Dukes’s stage, differentiation, or metastasis. No differences in p53 overexpression were found between carcinomas and adenomas with respect to moderate and severe dysplasia (table 5). There was also no correlation between the expression of mdm2 and p53 in carcinomas (table 3).

ADENOMAS

The immunoreactivities of mdm2 and p53 are shown in tables 2 and 3. mdm2 immunoreactive cells were seen in 17 of 72 (23.6%) adenomas. All positive cells showed nuclear staining but this was generally weak. No cytoplasmic staining was seen (fig 1C). There were no associations between mdm2 expression and either the grade of dysplasia or tumour size. p53 immunoreactivity was detected in 19 of 72 (26.4%) adenomas (fig 1D). p53 overexpression was associated with moderate and severe dysplasia (p = 0.005) but not with tumour size. Four cases (5.6%) showed positivity for both mdm2 and p53 (table 3). There was no correlation between expression of mdm2 and p53 (table 3). There was also no significant difference between mdm2 expression in adenomas and carcinomas (p > 0.75; table 1).

CARCINOMAS

while adenomatous or carcinomatous epithelium was negative (fig 1B). All non-neoplastic tissues were negative for p53.

The tumour suppressor gene p53 is a transcripational activator that regulates cell growth and differentiation. Mutations of the p53 gene are common in human malignancies, including colorectal carcinoma, in which such mutations are thought to be late events. p53 immunoreactivity was not found in any normal epithelia adjacent to the adenomas or carcinomas or in tissue from non-inflamed diverticular disease. p53 staining was detected in 26.4% of adenomas and 41.8% of carcinomas. This is in line with previous studies. p53 nuclear accumulation begins to appear at the stage of mild dysplasia, but it is increased significantly in moderate and severe dysplasia. No difference was found between carcinomas and adenomas with moderate and severe dysplasia. This suggests that p53 mutations may occur at the moderately and severely dysplastic stages in adenoma development.

The consequence of the interaction between mdm2 and p53 remains obscure. Previous studies have shown that overexpression of the mdm2 gene can abolish the transactivating capability of the p53 protein, leading to the overexpression of p53. On the other hand, overexpression of p53 can induce mdm2 mRNA and protein expression. A reciprocal relation between p53 and mdm2 has been proposed as a mechanism for regulating the cell
Recent research has suggested that mdm2 expression can also lead to the rapid degradation of both wild-type and mutant p53. These conflicting results may be related to different isoforms of mdm2 interacting with p53 or to different interacting domains in mdm2 or the p53 protein. Hence, the relation between mdm2 and p53 is complex and is not understood fully at the moment. In this study, we did not find any correlation between the expression of mdm2 or p53 in either adenomas or carcinomas. It is possible that the interaction between p53 and mdm2 depends on cell type, so that the results of this interaction might also vary in different types of tissues. Further investigations are under way to clarify the molecular relation between p53 and mdm2 in colorectal tumours.
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