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Abstract

**Aim**—To develop a polymerase chain reaction (PCR) based method to detect penicillin susceptibility in isolates of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* (SP).

**Methods**—PCR primers were designed to amplify differential nucleotide sequences of the penicillin-binding protein (PBP) genes 2b, 2x, and 1a in penicillin susceptible and resistant strains of SP. Primers derived from the PBP 2x and 2b genes were designed to amplify products from penicillin susceptible *S pneumoniae* (PSSP), whereas primers derived from the PBP 1a gene were designed to amplify gene sequences of penicillin resistant *S pneumoniae* (PRSP).

**Results**—Two hundred and thirty clinical isolates of SP from the USA, UK, Kenya, Romania, and the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia were tested. Of the isolates, 116 were penicillin susceptible, 65 were immediately resistant, and 49 were highly resistant. PCR identified 108 (93%) of 116 of PSSP isolates, 55 (85%) of 65 immediately resistant isolates, and all of the 49 highly resistant isolates of SP. The susceptibility of 16 (7%) isolates could not be determined using PCR. All of these 16 isolates had a minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of penicillin ≤ 0.1 mg/l. None of the highly resistant isolates was identified as penicillin susceptible by PCR, although two of the isolates with intermediate resistance (MIC = 0.125 mg/l) were.

**Conclusion**—Using primers that differentially identify the genotypes of susceptible and resistant strains of SP, PCR provides a rapid method for determining the penicillin susceptibility of SP isolates and could potentially be used for testing clinical samples.
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Pneumococcal infections cause 3–5 million deaths globally each year,1 and penicillin remains the antibiotic of choice for most serious infections caused by *Streptococcus pneumoniae* (SP). However, penicillin resistant *S pneumoniae* (PRSP) have spread to almost all parts of the world, and the prevalence of these resistant strains in any particular country is largely dependent on antibiotic pressure and number of children under 14 years of age.2 Prevalence is low in the UK and Italy (2–3%) and is high in Spain, Hungary, Romania, Turkey, South Korea, South Africa, and some parts of North America (40–50%).3 Resistance to newer cephalosporins, such as ceftaxime and ceftriaxone, is increasing,4,5 and prevalences of cefotaxime resistant SP of 9% and 6% have been reported recently in Atlanta (USA)6 and Barcelona (Spain)7, respectively. PRSP are also frequently resistant to non-β-lactam antibiotics, often having raised minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) values for cotrimoxazole, tetracycline, chloramphenicol, erythromycin, and fluoroquinolones.1,5

PCR has been used extensively for the diagnosis of microbial infections as well as characterisation of antimicrobial resistance genes—for example, rifampicin and isoniazid resistance in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*,6,8 glycopeptide and fluoroquinolone resistance in *Enterococcus spp.*,10,11 ampicillin resistance in *Haemophilus influenzae*,12 methicillin resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus*,13 amikacin resistance in *Actinobacter spp.*,14 and quinolone resistance in *Shigella dysenteriae*.15 Resistance to penicillin and other β-lactam antibiotics in SP is caused by the production of five altered high molecular weight penicillin-binding proteins (PBP), 1a, 1b, 2x, 2a, and 2b, with reduced β-lactam affinity.16–21 The nucleotide sequences of genes coding for the native and altered PBP (2b, 2x, and 1a) of both PRSP and penicillin susceptible *S pneumoniae* (PSSP) have been reported.22–24 PCR primers were designed to amplify the differential nucleotide sequences of these genes in penicillin susceptible and resistant strains of SP. Using primers derived from the pneumolysin, autolysin, and DNA polymerase I genes, and the 16S–23S spacer ribosomal region, PCR has been used successfully to diagnose pneumococcal infection in blood,25–28 sputum,29 cerebrospinal fluid,30 and primary culture isolates.31 We describe the use of PCR to differentiate between the penicillin susceptible and resistant genotypes of SP. Ubukata et al.32 have described a similar approach to detect the autolysin and PEP 2b genes of SP, and we have extended this approach to include the PBP 2x and 1a genes.

**Methods**

**BACTERIAL STRAINS**

Clinical isolates of SP were obtained from the Bacteriology Department, Public Health Laboratory, Bristol, UK (including five or
Table 1  Primers sequences

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Location (bp)</th>
<th>Sequence (5’-3’)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>Pneumolysin</td>
<td>1378</td>
<td>TCTTGACTCTCAAGGCCTGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP’</td>
<td>Pneumolysin</td>
<td>1565</td>
<td>AATCGTCCGCTTACGCACTA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2x</td>
<td>PBP 2x</td>
<td>1669</td>
<td>GTCATGCTAGAGCTAATT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2x</td>
<td>PBP 2x</td>
<td>1946</td>
<td>AACCGACTGATAACACC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2b</td>
<td>PBP 2b</td>
<td>694</td>
<td>ACTCGAGGTTACGCTAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2b</td>
<td>PBP 2b</td>
<td>1053</td>
<td>ACAGGAGGCCACAGACAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1a FP</td>
<td>PBP 1a</td>
<td>1315</td>
<td>AGGTCGCTCTGATAGCACT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1a FP</td>
<td>PBP 1a</td>
<td>1738</td>
<td>GAGCTCATATGCAAGTGT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

bp = base pairs.

more isolates of the PSS strains for each year from 1982 to 1995); Southmead Hospital, Bristol, UK; Royal Free Hospital, London, UK; Central Public Health Laboratory, London, UK; Texas Children’s Hospital, Houston, USA; Welcome Trust–Kenya Medical Research Institute HIV Project, Nairobi, Kenya; Mostashfa Al-Weladah Hospital, Makka, the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia (KSA); and Children’s Hospital, Sinai, Romania. There were 159 isolates from the UK (94 penicillin susceptible, 44 intermediate resistant, and 21 highly resistant), 43 from the USA (seven penicillin sensitive, eight intermediate resistant, and 28 highly resistant), 15 from Romania (12 penicillin sensitive, and three intermediate resistant), 10 from Kenya (all intermediate penicillin resistant), and three from the KSA (all penicillin sensitive). Only one bacterial isolate from any single patient was included in this study. Clinical isolates of viridans group streptococci, Enterococcus spp., and other Streptococcus spp. were obtained from Bristol Public Health Laboratory and the Streptococcal Reference Laboratory, Colindale, London, UK. These were Streptococcus sanguis (three isolates), Streptococcus mitis (three isolates), Streptococcus salivarius (three isolates), Streptococcus mutans (one isolate), Streptococcus cremoris (one isolate), Streptococcus oralis (one isolate), Streptococcus milleri (three isolates), β-haemolytic streptococci groups B and C (two isolates each), Streptococcus bovis biotype 1 (three isolates), Streptococcus bovis biotype 2 (three isolates), Enterococcus faecalis (six isolates), and Enterococcus faecium (three isolates). Species were identified and serotyped for each isolate using standard laboratory methods.19

The isolates were coded so that all the molecular investigations were carried out by operators blind to the penicillin susceptibility or species.

Antimicrobial susceptibility testing

The MIC of penicillin was measured by broth microdilution with Mueller-Hinton broth (CM405, Unipath, Basingstoke, UK) containing 5% horse serum.21 Break points were those published by the National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards, as follows: susceptible (MIC < 0.06 mg/l), intermediate resistant (MIC 0.1–1 mg/l), and highly resistant (MIC ≥2 mg/l).15 The control isolates were SP ATCC 49619 and Staphylococcus aureus NCTC 6571.

Extraction of bacterial DNA

Bacteria were grown on blood agar base (Columbia CM 331, Unipath) containing 5% horse blood and incubated in air at 37°C for 18 hours. GeneReleaser (20 µl; Cambio, Cambridge, UK) was mixed with one to five bacterial colonies in a 500 µl Eppendorf tube. DNA templates for PCR were prepared as described by the manufacturer and 5 µl GeneReleaser product was used in each PCR reaction. To ascertain the sensitivity of each primer set, Mueller-Hinton broth containing 5% horse serum was inoculated with SP and incubated at 37°C for four hours. Bacterial cells were centrifuged at 12 000 × g for one minute, the supernatant was discarded, and the bacterial pellet was washed three times with 1 × TE buffer (10 mM Tris, EDTA, pH 7.6). The bacterial pellet was then re-suspended in 200 µl 1 × TE buffer. Tenfold dilutions were made from this suspension in TE buffer for PCR, and in Mueller-Hinton broth for the determination of colony forming units (CFUs) using a modified Miles and Misra method.18 DNA from these dilutions was prepared as described earlier.

Selection of primers

Sequences of PBP 2x, 2b, and 1a genes from PSSP and PRSP strains were compared and differential sequences were identified. The PCR and Oligo Primer Design program (Scientific Educational Software, USA) was used to design primers from the PBP genes and the pneumolysin gene. Primers derived from the PBP 2x and 2b genes were designed to produce amplification products from PSSP, whereas primers from the PBP 1a gene were designed to amplify PRSP gene sequences.

Primer sequences encoding the penicillin binding domain of PBPs were used to amplify PBP 2b, 2x, and 1a genes. Many regions of the PBP 2b genes of penicillin sensitive isolates of S mitis and SP are highly homologous,19 and, therefore, at least one primer for amplifying the PSSP PBP 2b gene was derived from a region of low DNA homology between these strains to increase the specificity of the PCR. The location of these primers in the genes and their sequences are shown in table 1

Polymerase chain reaction

The PCR reaction mixture comprised 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 9.0), 1.5 mM MgCl2, 50 mM KCl, 0.01% gelatin, 0.01% Triton X-100, 20 µM each dNTP, 0.1 µM of each of four primers, 0.25 units SuperTaq polymerase (HT Biotechnology Ltd, Cambridge, UK), and 5 µl DNA extract prepared using GeneReleaser. The primer combinations were SP1 and SP2 derived from the pneumolysin gene with 2x, and 2x, derived from the PBP 2x gene, or 2b, and 2b, derived from the PBP 2b gene, or 1a FP and 1a RP derived from the PBP 1a gene. A hot start PCR method was used—ingredients for amplification were mixed with DNA at 75°C. Before the start of cycling, DNA was denatured for three minutes at 94°C. PCR conditions were as follows: 94°C for 30 seconds, 60°C for 30 seconds and at 72°C for two minutes for 35 cycles in three different units of an OmniGene Hybaid Thermal Cycler or a Hybaid Thermal Reactor. After 35 cycles...
of amplification, tubes were heated at 72°C for seven minutes. One negative and two positive controls were included in each PCR run. In the negative control, DNA was replaced by an equal amount of injection water (Braun, Bucks, UK). Two clinical isolates of SP with MICs of 0.03 and 1.0 mg/l were used as positive controls. DNA was extracted, amplification reactions prepared, and PCR products analysed in three different rooms with designated equipment for each room. Aerogard tips with a barrier (Alpha Laboratories Ltd, UK) were used throughout the experiments to prevent aerosol contamination of pipettes. PCR products were electrophoresed on 2% agarose gels containing 0.005% ethidium bromide using 1x Tris borate buffer (0.89 mM Tris borate, 0.2 mM EDTA, pH 8.3), and bands visualised with ultraviolet transillumination.

Results

SENSITIVITY, SPECIFICITY, REPRODUCIBILITY, AND RAPIDITY OF THE METHOD

A multiplex format was used for PCR, using a pair of primers designed to amplify the pneumolysin gene, and a pair of primers derived from one of the three PBP genes, 2x, 2b, and 1a. DNA from 10 CFUs of PSSP was detected using primers derived from the pneumolysin and PBP 2b genes, from 40 CFUs using primers derived from the pneumolysin and PBP 2x genes, and from 300 CFUs using primers derived from the pneumolysin and PBP 1a genes. Primers derived from the pneumolysin and PBP 2b, 2x, and 1a genes did not amplify DNA from any of the 22 isolates of Streptococcus spp. or the six isolates of E faecalis. The PCR was applied three times to each clinical isolate of SP by five investigators, using two different thermal cyclers, over a period of three years with reproducible results. The positive and negative controls in each run produced the expected results. The penicillin susceptible or resistant genotypes of 10 primary culture isolates of SP could be identified within about six hours. A typical experiment contained amplification reactions for 10 clinical isolates, one negative and two positive controls. On average, it took one and half hours to extract the DNA and prepare amplification reactions (13 reactions for each of the PBP 2b, 2x, and 1a genes), three hours for amplification, and one and a half hours for gel electrophoresis.

DETECTION OF THE PENICILLIN SUSCEPTIBLE GENOTYPE USING PRIMERS FROM THE PBP 2b GENE

The PCR using primers designed to detect the PBP 2b gene of PSSP (fig 1A) amplified DNA from 116 (100%) PSSP isolates and 10 (8.8%) of the 114 PRSP isolates. The MIC of penicillin for these 10 PRSP isolates was <0.5 mg/l. Eight of these were identified as PRSP and two as PSSP using primers derived from the PBP 2x gene. The origin of isolates which gave false positive or negative reactions was as follows: two from the UK, three from Kenya, two from Romania, and three from the USA.

DETECTION OF THE PENICILLIN SUSCEPTIBLE GENOTYPE USING PRIMERS FROM THE PBP 2x GENE

The PCR using primers designed to detect the PBP 2x gene of PSSP (fig 1B) amplified DNA from 110 (94.8%) of the 116 PSSP isolates and four (3.5%) of the 114 PRSP isolates. The MIC of penicillin for these four PRSP isolates was >0.5 mg/l. The origin of isolates which gave false positive or negative reactions was as follows: one from Kenya, five from Romania, and four from the USA. Two of these isolates also gave a false positive reaction with primers derived from the PBP 2b gene.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Penicillin MIC (mg/l)</th>
<th>Number of isolates</th>
<th>Results of PCR with different primer combinations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Combination 1</td>
<td>Combination 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;0.06</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.125-1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Combination 1 = 2b, + 2b, SP, + SP, combination 2 = 2x, + 2xO, SP, + SP.

DETECTION OF THE PENICILLIN RESISTANT GENOTYPE USING PRIMERS FROM THE PBP 1a GENE

The PCR using primers designed to detect the PBP 1a gene of PRSP (fig 1C) amplified DNA from 72 (63%) of the 114 PRSP isolates. These 72 isolates include 56 (86%) of the 65 PRSP isolates from the UK and 16 (33%) of the 49 PRSP isolates from countries other than the UK. None of the 116 PSSP isolates gave an amplification product. The results of PCR with different sets of primers are shown in table 2.

DIAGNOSTIC CRITERIA FOR THE DETECTION OF PENICILLIN SUSCEPTIBILITY OF SP USING PCR

Isolates were classified as follows: penicillin susceptible if amplification products were produced with both the 2b and 2x primer sets; unconfirmed if amplification products were produced with one of the primer sets; and resistant if no amplification products were produced with any of the primer sets. According to these criteria, 108 (93%) of the 116 SP isolates with a MIC of penicillin of <0.06 mg/l and two isolates with a MIC of 0.125 mg/l were identified as susceptible to penicillin. Fifty five (85%) of the 65 isolates with a MIC of penicillin between 0.125 and 1 mg/l, and all 49 isolates with a MIC >2 mg/l were identified as penicillin resistant. The penicillin susceptibility of 16 isolates (eight with a MIC <0.06 mg/l and eight with a MIC between 0.125 and 1 mg/l) could not be identified using PCR. The PCR using primers derived from the PBP 1a gene was excluded from the diagnostic criteria because of its poor sensitivity for the detection of the penicillin resistant genotype of SP isolates. The sensitivity of this assay for the detection of the penicillin susceptible or resistant genotypes varies with the country of origin of the isolates, detecting 157 (98.7%) of the 159 SP isolates from the UK, 38 (88.3%) of the 43 from the USA, six (60%) of the 10 from Kenya, eight (53.3%) of the 15 from Romania, and all three (100%) from the KSA.

Discussion

Early detection of infection with the PRSP is essential both to ensure effective treatment and early implementation of measures for the prevention of secondary cases. Methods of detection based on culture take several days and have poor sensitivity in patients treated with antibiotics. Diagnosis of pneumococcal infections by antigen detection in clinical samples is rapid but this approach lacks sensitivity as well as specificity. A number of reports have described the identification of SP DNA in clinical samples using PCR, and have highlighted the advantages of PCR compared with traditional culture methods. These include a more rapid diagnosis, combined with high sensitivity and specificity, and the potential for use in diagnosis of the pneumococcal infections in patients pre-treated with antibiotics.

Mosaic PBP 2b, 2x, and 1a genes alone are sufficient to attain high level penicillin resistance in SP. Nucleotide sequences of PBP 2x, 2b, and 1a genes vary considerably among strains of PSSP and PRSP. The precise role of this sequence variation in development of penicillin resistance is not clear, but this study and recent work of Ubukata et al show that some of these differential nucleotide sequences can act as markers for penicillin susceptibility. We chose to use negative PCR amplification to indicate penicillin resistance due to the absence of a single, specific determinant of penicillin resistance in SP, as in the study by Ubukata et al. The sensitivity of their assay for determining penicillin susceptibility of SP was 72.1%, when the target of PCR was class A and B mutations of the PRSP PBP 2b gene compared with 98.9% when the PSSP PBP 2b gene was amplified. Targeting more than one PBP gene of PSSP increased the specificity of this technique. We propose that PCR could be extremely useful in the early identification of penicillin resistance in a sample or isolate, if the PBP 2b and 2x primer sets fail to give a product but the pneumolysin gene is amplified.

Although two isolates with a MIC of 0.125 mg/l were identified as penicillin susceptible, none of the highly resistant (MIC >2 mg/l) isolates were classified as penicillin susceptible using PCR.

The primers designed to amplify PSSP PBP 2x and 2b genes distinguished between PSSP and PRSP strains from a number of countries with variable success. Differences in the detection of the penicillin susceptible genotype from different geographical regions is presumably because of small clonal variations which do not alter the affinity of PBP to penicillin but affect the annealing of the primers used in this study to their targets. A search for new primers based on variations of PBP genes in countries other than the UK is under way to improve the sensitivity of this assay for these countries. As the number of isolates of SP from countries other than the UK was small, we have not attempted to compare the sensitivity of this method for determination of SP penicillin susceptibility from different countries. The primers designed to amplify the PRSP PBP 1a gene were highly specific but lacked sensitivity, and were excluded from the diagnostic criteria. The sensitivity of the PBP 1a primer set was far higher for SP isolates from the UK (86%) compared with isolates from other parts of the world (33%). This is probably because of a dominant clone or few related clones with little variation in the PRSP PBP 1a gene in the UK. The sensitivity of PCR for determination of penicillin susceptibility of SP was low (85%) for intermediately resistant strains compared with PSSP (93%) and highly resistant SP strains (100%). Acquisition of resistance in SP is a stepwise process. The PBP genes of PSSP have very few mutations, whereas the PBP genes of highly resistant SP have a large number of
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mutations, with intermediate resistant SP falling somewhere in between. It is, therefore, not surprising that this study was more successful in determining the penicillin susceptibility of PSSP and highly resistant SP rather than intermediate resistant SP. However, using this PCR method, we were unable to differentiate between moderately and highly resistant SP. We intend to design more sensitive primers for the PBP 1a gene of PSSP and extra primers for additional PBP genes in an attempt to differentiate between moderately and highly resistant strains of SP.

In conclusion, the assay described here is simple, reproducible, sensitive, and rapid. The penicillin susceptibility of primary culture isolates of SP can be determined within six hours and requires just two PCR assays under the same amplification conditions using 10–40 CFU DNA/reaction. We deliberately avoided using nested PCR or probes to keep the test simple, rapid, and which are important if the test is to be applied in clinical practice. By use of the hot start method and freshly prepared DNA from overnight bacterial growth, DNA degradation or contamination were not detected by gel electrophoresis. Each PCR assay on each isolate of SP was repeated three times to test the reproducibility of the method. Equivocal results were unusual and were generally associated with degradation of DNA following storage. As results were completely reproducible, one series of amplification is thought to be sufficient for determination of penicillin susceptibility of SP. The primers used in this study were highly specific for pneumococci, the transfer of PBP gene sequences among SP and the related viridans group of streptococci is well characterised, but we did not observe any cross reaction in this study. The multiplex PCR format could be used to determine the penicillin susceptibility of SP in clinical samples from sterile sites, such as blood or cerebrospinal fluid, in addition to primary culture isolates.
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