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Abstract

Aims—To investigate the possible role of mutations in the transforming growth factor β receptor type II gene (TGFBR2) in ovarian cancer and its relation to microsatellite instability (MSI), 43 sporadic ovarian tumours were analysed for mutations over the entire coding region of the TGFBR2 gene.

Methods—Mutational analysis was performed using the polymerase chain reaction (PCR), single strand conformation polymorphism (SSCP) gel analysis, and direct sequencing. MSI analysis included both mononucleotide and dinucleotide microsatellite markers used for radiolabelling and gene scanning.

Results—No pathogenic mutations were detected, although sequencing of the polyadenine (poly A) tract in exon 3 using conventional techniques revealed a spurious frameshift mutation that was not present in the same samples analysed using a proofreading Taq polymerase. MSI analysis demonstrated an MSI negative phenotype in 40 of the 43 tumours. None of the three MSI positive tumours demonstrated MSI for mononucleotide markers only.

Conclusions—These findings suggest that: (1) MSI (both conventional and mononucleotide) is infrequent in ovarian cancer and (2) inactivation of the MSH2, MLH1, and MSH6 mismatch repair genes and TGFBR2 gene mutations do not play a major role in ovarian cancer tumorigenesis. The spurious TGFBR2 frameshift mutations detected by sequencing after conventional PCR underline the importance of confirming putative mutations in repetitive sequences by alternative methods.
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Ovarian cancer is the fourth most common cause of death from cancer in Western women. Reliable means of early detection of the disease do not exist and most patients have advanced disease and a poor prognosis when diagnosed. Epidemiological risk factors include early menarche, late menopause, nulliparity, and the use of fertility stimulating drugs. Multiple pregnancies, prolonged breastfeeding, and the use of oral contraceptive pills decrease the risk of ovarian cancer. This lowered risk may largely be accounted for by suppression of ovulation.1 Relatively little is known about the molecular pathology of ovarian cancer. In many instances, major insights into the pathogenesis of sporadic cancers have been gained from the identification of familial cancer susceptibility genes. The best recognised causes of ovarian cancer susceptibility are germline mutations in the BRCA1 and BRCA2 tumour suppressor genes2 and the hereditary non-polyposis colon cancer syndrome (HNPCC) gene.

HNPCC is characterised by a dominantly inherited susceptibility to colorectal cancer, endometrial, and ovarian cancers, and less frequently urothelial and gastric cancers.3 Recently, we and others have reported that in female HNPCC gene carriers the risk of endometrial and ovarian cancers exceeds that of colorectal cancer.4, 5 Most cases of HNPCC result from germline mutations in mismatch repair (MMR) genes, principally hMSH2 and hMLH1, and less frequently hMSH6. The signature of tumour MMR gene deficiency is the presence of altered sized fragments for simple repeat sequences (for example, microsatellite markers). This “microsatellite instability” (MSI positive) or “RER positive” (replication error) phenotype has been used as a marker to identify cancers with MMR gene inactivation.6 A variety of microsatellite polymorphisms may be used to detect MSI including mononucleotide, dinucleotide, trinucleotide, and tetranucleotide repeat polymorphisms. Most commonly, dinucleotide repeat polymorphisms are used. However, compared with hMSH2 and hMLH1, hMSH6 deficiency appears preferentially to impair fidelity of replication of mononucleotide repeats.8–10 Somatic MMR gene inactivation occurs in 15–20% of all colorectal cancers. The association of germline MMR gene mutations with ovarian cancer susceptibility might predict a role for somatic MMR gene inactivation in sporadic ovarian cancer. However, estimates of the frequency of MSI in sporadic ovarian cancer have been very variable (up to 53%) and usually based on studies with dinucleotide markers only.10–11 We have identified previously a group of colorectal cancers with MSI for mononucleotide but not dinucleotide microsatellite markers,10 and demonstrated a germline MSH6 mutation in an isolated case with mononucleotide only MSI. It is not known whether a similar entity of mononucleotide only MSI ovarian cancer occurs. Frameshift mutations in a poly A tract in the transforming growth factor β receptor type II gene (TGFBR2) are frequent in MSI...
positive colorectal cancers. Furthermore, microsatellite stable colorectal cancers often contain inactivating TGFBR2 mutations not involving the poly A tract. TGF-β inhibits the growth of many cell types. TGF-β signalling occurs after the formation of a complex of two receptors, TGFβRII with TGFβRI. Briefly, the binding of TGF-β to its receptor complex initiates signalling via the Smad family of proteins. Mutations in at least two Smad members (Smad 2 and Smad 4) have been implicated in the development of several types of tumour including colorectal, breast, ovarian, and others. Recently, mutations in the TGFβRI gene have also been implicated in the development of colorectal cancer.

Recently, Lynch and colleagues reported that six of 24 sporadic ovarian tumours analysed demonstrated mutations in TGFβRII, suggesting that TGFβRII might be an important target in both colorectal and ovarian tumorigenesis. To investigate the role of TGFβRII inactivation in ovarian tumorigenesis we have analysed a series of 43 sporadic ovarian tumours for TGFβRII mutations and related this to MSI analysis using both dinucleotide and mononucleotide markers.

Materials and methods

DNA samples

Forty three tumour and patient matched normal DNA sample pairs and the Ovarc 5 control cell line were obtained from collaborative laboratories at Washington University School of Medicine (St Louis, USA) and Mario Negri Institute in Milan (Italy), respectively. Patient samples comprised a range of tumour types, both benign and malignant (stages I to IV epithelial tumours: 13 serous, eight endometrioid, eight mixed histological, four teratomas, three mucinous, two granulosa, two unknown, one clear cell, one fibro-adenoma, and one unclassified sex cord). All tumours were apparently sporadic.

Microsatellite analysis

Two sets of polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification were set up. The first used two mononucleotide primers: BAT-26, located in the fifth intron of hMSH2, and BAT-40, located in the second intron of the 3β-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase gene. Each primer was end labelled before PCR using the T4 kinase enzyme and 32P-ATP as radioactive primer. Each sample was end labelled before PCR using the GeneScan 2.1 software provided with the sequencer.

Results

Establishing the frequency of MSI in ovarian cancer

To determine the frequency of MSI in our series of 43 ovarian tumours we analysed the
samples using mononucleotide and dinucleotide markers. Conventional criteria for MSI status were used: MSI positive, instability of at least two of five markers; low level MSI, instability at one of five markers; MSI negative, none of five markers showing instability. Forty tumours were MSI negative, and three tumours were MSI positive (instability was observed at both mononucleotides and dinucleotides in two cases) (fig 1). No tumours showed mononucleotide only MSI.

ANALYSIS OF THE TGFBR2 GENE IN OVARIAN CANCER

To investigate the possible role of TGFBR2 gene mutations in sporadic ovarian cancer, we analysed our samples for mutations in the TGFBR2 gene over its entire coding region. The seven exons of the gene were divided into 10 PCR products (exon 4 is large and was subdivided into four PCR products) and were first analysed by SSCP gel analysis. No abnormal band shifts were detected for any of the exons. In a recent study of 24 sporadic ovarian carcinomas, Lynch et al described mutations in 25% of their tumour samples in the TGFBR2 gene. These mutations included a 1 bp insertion in the poly A of exon 3, resulting in a reading frameshift, and five other nucleotide substitutions resulting in amino acid changes in exons 4.3 and 5. In addition, the sensitivity of the PCR-SSCP method is less than 100%; therefore, we also looked at these “hotspot” exons in our samples by direct sequencing. When using conventional (non-proofreading) Taq polymerase in the initial PCR step, we consistently noted the presence of “false” frameshifts in the form of a 1 bp deletion in exon 3, which contains a repeated sequence of 10 adenines, for every sample tested including patient tumour and matched normal DNA (fig 2) and unrelated normal control DNA. Therefore, we then used a proofreading Taq polymerase to sequence exon 3 and all false frameshifts previously picked up were no longer detected except for a 1 bp insertion known to be contained within our positive control sample Ovcar 5 (fig 2). No mutations were found in exons 3, 4.3, or 5 for any of the 43 tumour samples either by SSCP or direct sequencing. The positive control sample Ovcar 5 confirmed the validity of the direct sequencing method.

Figure 1 (A) Analysis of dinucleotide instability with fluorescently labelled D13S175 dinucleotide marker in sample 583 showing size instability of D13S175 in the tumour DNA (583T, lower panel) compared with matched normal DNA (583N, upper panel). Allele size in base pairs indicated on the horizontal axes; the units on the vertical axes are arbitrary fluorescent units. (B) Analysis of mononucleotide microsatellite instability (MSI) with the radiolabelled BAT-26 marker demonstrates MSI positivity in sample 594, which shows extra bands in the tumour DNA (T) compared with normal DNA from the same patient (N), and two microsatellite stable samples (596 and 601).

Figure 2 (A) TGFBR2I exon 3 sequence analysis of patient matched normal DNA from sample 583 and (B) corresponding tumour DNA. Both normal and tumour DNA display 1 bp deletions in the poly T tract (reverse sequences shown) using conventional Taq polymerase. TGFBR2I exon 3 sequence analysis of (C) the Ovcar 5 cell line, which displays a 1 bp insertion in the poly A tract resulting in a frameshift (forward sequence shown) and (D) sample 583 tumour DNA reanalysed using proofreading Taq polymerase showing the absence of a frameshift mutation following the poly A tract.
These results suggest that TGFBR2 is not a major mutation target in ovarian cancer.

Discussion

MSI is a hallmark of tumours harbouring mutations in the mismatch repair genes. MSI has been described in many sporadic cancers that can be associated with HNPPC, including colorectal, endometrial, and gastric tumours. Ovarian cancer is a component tumour of some HNPPC families, yet the incidence of MSI has been reported to be much lower than that seen in other sporadic HNPPC associated tumours. Although both we and Allen and colleagues investigated the possibility that mononucleotide only MSI might be frequent in ovarian cancers, the incidence of MSI in the two studies was very low (three of 43 and three of 26 cases, respectively) and there was no evidence that mononucleotide only MSI is frequent in sporadic ovarian tumours. The number of MSI positive samples in our study (one of eight endometrioid tumours) is too small to be able to correlate MSI with a particular tumour histology or stage. However, Fujita and colleagues found a significantly higher incidence of dinucleotide MSI in the endometrioid subtype of ovarian cancer (five of 10 tumours) and King and colleagues found an association between stage I tumours and much higher incidences of MSI (alterations in 75% of stage I tumours).

Mutations in hMSH6 are preferentially associated with instability at mononucleotide repeats. In females from atypical HNPPC families, a higher frequency (73%) of endometrial hyperplastic lesions as well as carcinomas has been associated with germline hMSH6 mutations as compared with carriers of mutations in hMSH2 (29%) or hMLH1 (31%). In a previous study, we identified a subgroup of patients with early onset colorectal cancer who displayed mononucleotide only MSI (one of whom was found to have a germline hMSH6 mutation). In our present study, we wanted to determine whether a similar subgroup existed in sporadic ovarian cancer; however, we did not identify a group of ovarian cancers with mononucleotide only MSI, which suggests that hMSH6 mutations are rare in sporadic ovarian cancers. Although TGFB2 mutations are frequent in sporadic colorectal cancer, TGFB2 mutations are infrequent in endometrial cancers from patients with germline MSH6 mutations. This suggests differing mechanisms of tumorigenesis in two HNPPC associated cancers. Our findings also suggest that TGFB2 is not a major target for mutational inactivation in ovarian cancer. This conclusion differs from that reached by Lynch et al., who reported that 25% of tumour samples contained mutations in this gene. However, another recent study found that only one of 104 ovarian cancers had mutations in the poly A region of the TGFB2 gene.

We thank Wellbeing for financial support.