Expression of complement regulating factors in gastric cancer cells
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Aims: To investigate the deposition of complement components, C3d and C5b–9, and the expression of complement regulating factors (S protein, membrane cofactor protein [MCP; CD46], protecin (CD59), decay accelerating factor [DAF; CD55], and type 1 complement receptor [CR1; CD35]) in gastric cancers.

Methods: Specimens of gastric cancer were examined by immunohistochemistry and immunoelectron microscopy.

Results: Four complement regulating factors (S protein, MCP, protectin, and DAF) were expressed on gastric cancer cells, in ultrastructurally localised areas on the cell membrane. CR1 was not expressed. The staining intensity of DAF in both differentiated and undifferentiated adenocarcinomas was significantly higher than in histologically normal gastric epithelium. Furthermore, the staining intensity of DAF in gastric cancers showing a diffusely infiltrating growth pattern was higher than in gastric cancers showing an expanding growth pattern.

Conclusions: These data indicate that DAF may play a role in cancer cell infiltration and resistance in tumour cells.

The complement system has been characterised extensively, both biochemically and functionally. It has been found to consist of more than 25 proteins acting dynamically together. The fact that more than half of these proteins function as regulators indicates that this highly potent biological effector system is kept under strict control.1 When complement components are deposited on host cells, complement regulating factors protect autologous cells from complement mediated cytotoxicity.2 The key enzymes of the complement cascade, C3/C5 convertases, are inhibited by the C3b inactivation of their non-catalytic subunits, C4b or C3b (CR1 and DAF) or by promotion of proteolytic accelerating factor (DAF; CD55), and membrane cofactor protein (MCP; CD46).3–5 by dissociation of the convertase complexes (CR1 and DAF) or by promotion of proteolytic inactivation of their non-catalytic subunits, C4b or C3b (CR1 and MCP). Two inhibitors of the membrane attack complex (C5b–9) have been described.6–8: one has been termed S protein (vitronectin), and the other protectin (CD59). Previous studies have suggested that complement activation may play a role in tumour cytotoxicity,9–11 and a few have demonstrated the presence of complement regulatory proteins in malignant tumours.12–16 An excellent recent study has revealed significant complement mediated killing of microtumours treated with an antitumour antibody and a specific monoclonal antibody against the complement lysis inhibitor protein (CD59).17 However, there are no available data concerning the relation between the localisation of complement regulatory proteins on gastric cancer cells and clinicopathological features of their tumours.

“Previous studies have suggested that complement activation may play a role in tumour cytotoxicity, and a few have demonstrated the presence of complement regulatory proteins in malignant tumours.”

Therefore, our present study aimed to investigate the expression of complement regulatory proteins in gastric cancers by immunohistochemistry and immunoelectron microscopy, and to assess the relation between the presence of these proteins on gastric cancer cells and the clinicopathological factors.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Tissue samples

Resected gastric tissues were obtained at surgery from 28 patients, including one double cancer and one triple cancer. Eighteen lesions were differentiated adenocarcinomas, and 13 undifferentiated adenocarcinomas (table 1). In addition, 17 specimens of histologically normal (uninvolved) gastric tissue from the edges of the resected tissues were obtained from these patients. Neonatal gastric mucosa obtained from two postmortem cases was used as control. The tissue samples were sliced into sections approximately 5 mm thick, which were fixed in buffered 10% formalin, embedded in paraffin wax, and cut into 4 μm thick sections. The thin sections were stained with haematoxylin and eosin. A histological diagnosis including the infiltrative growth pattern and conclusive stage grouping was made according to the general rules for the gastric cancer study.18 Patterns of infiltrating growth of the tumours into the surrounding tissue are classified into the following three categories: INFα, the tumour shows expanding growth and a distinct border from the surrounding tissue; INFβ, this category is between INFα and INFγ, and INFγ, the tumour shows infiltrating growth and an indistinct border from the surrounding tissue.

Immunohistochemistry

For immunohistochemistry, portions of tissue samples from the cancerous lesion, from unaffected mucosa, and from neonatal mucosa were sliced into sections approximately 5 mm thick within one hour of removal, immersed for about six hours in periodate/lysine/paraformaldehyde fixative, rinsed in
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After immunostaining, representative periodate/silver methenamine sections were obtained after 24 hours at 4°C. After washing, the sections were incubated with primary antibody for 24 hours at room temperature. The expression of complement components and regulatory proteins in uninvolved gastric mucosa (American Qualex, San Clemente, California, USA) for two hours at 4°C, and the staining was visualised with diamobenzidine. After re-fixing with 1.25% glutaraldehyde for 30 minutes and 2% osmium tetroxide for two hours at 4°C, the specimens were dehydrated through graded alcohols and embedded in epoxy resin. Ultrathin sections were prepared using an ultramicrotome, and observed under an electron microscope. Three gastric specimens of histologically normal (uninvolved) mucosa were used for immunoelectron microscopy; one was obtained from fundic mucosa without intestinal metaplasia and gastric cancer, and two were obtained from pyloric mucosa with intestinal metaplasia and without gastric cancer.

Statistical analysis
Statistical analysis was performed using Statview II software (Abacus Concepts Inc, Berkeley, California, USA). Mean values were compared by the Kruskal-Wallis test and Scheffe’s methods.

RESULTS
Expression of complement components and regulatory proteins in uninvolved gastric mucosa
The expression of complement components and regulatory proteins in uninvolved gastric mucosa obtained from patients with gastric cancer was examined. There were no significant differences in the staining intensities among the three different anatomical sites (upper third (n=7), middle third (n=5), and lower third (n=5)), between pyloric gland mucosa and fundic mucosa, between mucosa with (n=9) and without (n=8) intestinal metaplasia, and between mucosa with (n=16) and without (n=17) gastric cancer. In normal gastric epithelium, complement components C3d and C5b–9 and the regulatory protein S protein were deposited on basal cell surfaces. Their staining patterns were predominant in the upper parts of the foveolae. On immunoelectron microscopy it was confirmed that C3d, C5b–9, and S protein were deposited only on the basal cell membranes (table 2).
MCP was always expressed strongly and formed a homogenous pattern in all normal tissues. MCP was expressed simultaneously on both the basal and lateral membranes, but very rarely on the luminal membrane. In neonatal mucosa DAF was negative, whereas in non-cancerous mucosa only focal expression of DAF on the luminal surface was seen. The ultrastructural localisation of DAF in non-cancerous mucosa confirmed this finding. Protectin expression was patchy in normal tissues. In the positively stained areas, it was weakly expressed on the luminal membrane and on the basal membrane. CR1 was always negative.

Expression of complement components and regulatory proteins in cancerous gastric mucosa

In differentiated adenocarcinomas, the staining patterns of C3d, C5b–9, and S protein were usually the same as in histologically normal tissues, but in a part of the differentiated adenocarcinomas, complement components C3d (n = 5) and C5b–9 (n = 2), and S protein (n = 3) were deposited not only on the basal cell surfaces, but also on the luminal surfaces, as shown by light microscopy (fig 1A,B). Ultrastructural observations confirmed that these three antigens produced basal staining and that the staining was absent on the lateral membranes, although it was sometimes present on the luminal membranes (table 2). In undifferentiated adenocarcinoma, C3d and S protein were always negative. The staining intensity of C3d, C5b–9, and S protein revealed no significant correlation between the four different histological groups (table 3).

The expression of MCP in malignant tissues was similar to that in normal tissues (fig 1C), except in undifferentiated adenocarcinomas. In undifferentiated adenocarcinomas, which have few glandular structures, MCP was expressed over the entire cell surface. This pattern was consistent with the findings on immunoelectron microscopy (table 2; fig 2A). There was no significant difference in the staining intensity of MCP between the four different histological groups (table 3).

In differentiated adenocarcinomas, DAF was expressed on cell surfaces adjacent to the lumen, but occasionally the apicolateral cell surfaces were positive. In undifferentiated adenocarcinomas, there were two staining patterns, depending on the presence or absence of glandular structures. When ducts were present, DAF was expressed on their luminal sides, and when they were absent, DAF was expressed over the entire surface. In addition, in one signet ring cell carcinoma, DAF was expressed in the cytoplasm. The ultrastructural localisation of DAF corresponded approximately with the findings on light microscopy. In differentiated adenocarcinoma, it was apparent on the luminal cell membranes (fig 2B), and often on the lateral cell membranes. In undifferentiated adenocarcinoma DAF was expressed over the entire surface (fig 2D).

The staining intensities of DAF in both differentiated and undifferentiated adenocarcinomas were significantly higher than in non-cancerous tissues (table 3). There was no significant difference in the staining intensity of DAF between differentiated and undifferentiated adenocarcinomas, or between the four tumour staging grades. However, there were significant differences according to the mode of infiltrative growth of the gastric cancers. The staining intensity of DAF in gastric cancers showing a diffusely infiltrating growth pattern (INFα; mean value of staining intensity, 3.69; SD, 1.69; n = 8; fig 1D) was significantly higher than that seen in gastric cancers showing an expanding growth pattern (INFβ; mean value of staining intensity, 1.73; SD, 1.39; n = 7; p < 0.05; fig 1E). The staining intensity of gastric cancers showing the intermediate mode (INFγ; mean value of staining intensity, 4.32; SD, 1.37; n = 16) was similar to that of INFβ, but was significantly higher than that of INFα (p < 0.01). There were no significant differences in the staining intensities of other complements factors and regulatory proteins in relation to the different clinicopathological parameters (depth of invasion, mode of infiltrative growth, lymph node metastasis, and stage grouping).

In differentiated adenocarcinomas, protectin was expressed strongly on the luminal membrane, as in normal tissues. In undifferentiated adenocarcinomas it was almost absent. Unlike normal (non-neoplastic) gastric epithelium, differentiated adenocarcinomas strongly expressed protectin on both the luminal and basal membranes (fig 2D). The lateral membranes were also weakly stained (table 2). The staining intensity of protectin in undifferentiated adenocarcinomas was significantly lower than in both normal gastric epithelium and differentiated adenocarcinomas (table 3).

CR1 was found on the surfaces of cells in the non-neoplastic lymphoid germinal centres of both benign and malignant tissues, but was not expressed in any of the normal epithelial or cancer cells.
DISCUSSION

We investigated the expression of complement components and regulatory factors on gastric cancer cells, and their localisation sites on the cell membrane, by immunohistochemistry and immunoelectron microscopy. We confirmed that complement activation measured as deposition of C3d and C5b-9...
occurs in both histologically normal gastric mucosa and cancer tissue, together with the presence of complement regulating factors that protect cells from complement mediated cytotoxicity. Interestingly, the expression patterns and staining intensities of some of the regulatory factors varied with the histology of the cancer tissues.

S protein, which is a serum protein that binds to nascent C5b–7/8 in serum, was present on the basal surface of normal gastric epithelium, as were C3d and C5b–9, indicating complement activation.

Although complement components are present only partially in a few normal tissues, for example thyroid tissues and glomeruli, our study has shown that C3d and C5b–9 are deposited in histologically normal gastric epithelium. The staining patterns of these three antigens show that they are located predominantly in the upper parts of the crypts. These data indicated that complement is partly activated under normal circumstances. This could be the result of the continuous antigenic stimulation (by microorganisms and/or immune complexes) that the gut undergoes. Further investigations are needed to clarify this phenomenon.

In differentiated adenocarcinomas, the staining patterns of C3d, C5b–9, and S protein were almost identical to those in normal tissues. Interestingly, in several differentiated adenocarcinomas, they were deposited not only on the basal cell surfaces but also on the luminal ones, suggesting that in invasive carcinoma complement deposits on both surfaces, allowing cancer cells to be protected from complement mediated cytotoxicity by the expression of S protein.

### Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complement components and regulatory proteins</th>
<th>Mean staining intensity (SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Neonatal mucosa</td>
<td>Uninvolved mucosa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3d n=2</td>
<td>2.22 (0.51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C5b–9 n=2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCP (CD46) n=2</td>
<td>5.11 (0.98)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAF (CD55) n=2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CR1 (CD35) n=2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S protein n=2</td>
<td>1.22 (0.53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protectin (CD59) n=2</td>
<td>0.93 (0.45)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CR1, complement receptor type 1; DAF, decay accelerating factor; MCP, membrane cofactor protein.

*p<0.05; **p<0.01.
“In contrast to the other three complement regulating factors, DAF staining intensities in both differentiated and undifferentiated adenocarcinomas were significantly higher than in normal epithelium.”

MCP is a cofactor for factor I mediated cleavage of C4b/C3b, but it does not accelerate the decay of the C3/C5 convertases.12–14 The presence of antibodies directed against the surface antigens of tumour cells would activate the complement system through the classical pathway.15 In previous studies, it has been reported that MCP is ubiquitous on most human nucleated cells exposed to blood, including the epithelial cells of the normal gastric gland.16 In our present study, MCP was expressed strongly and ubiquitously in all benign and malignant gastric epithelial cells. Ultrastructural observations demonstrated that MCP was consistently present on both the basal cell border and the lateral membrane, but not on the luminal surface of normal gastric epithelial or differentiated adenocarcinoma cells. In contrast, in undifferentiated adenocarcinomas, it was present over the entire cell surface. These phenomena may reflect the particular need for regulators of complement activation on cells exposed to plasma.

Protectin is normally distributed widely over the membranes of human blood cells, vascular endothelial cells, middle ear mucosal cells,21 and certain cancer cells.21–23 Kotretz et al studied protectin in colorectal carcinomas.24 They found that the expression of CD59 in these carcinomas correlated with their differentiation grade and the stage of the disease—carcinomas exhibiting a low differentiation grade and those that had already metastasised at the time of surgery lacked protectin in the neoplastic compartment significantly more often. The first of these statements agrees with our data but the second does not. It is unclear why protectin expression in undifferentiated adenocarcinomas was lower than in other types, but one reason might be the presence or absence of glandular structures, because in positive reactions protectin was strongly expressed on the luminal cell surface.

DAF acts only within the surface membrane of cells. It is strictly an intrinsic membrane inhibitor. DAF is present on the surface of the epithelial surface of the gastrointestinal mucosa, exocrine glands, and other glands.26 A human monoclonal antibody SC-1 against an isoform of CD55/DAF induces localisation on the cell membrane.
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Take home messages

- The complement regulatory proteins, S protein, decay accelerating factor (DAF), membrane cofactor protein (MCP), and protectin were expressed on gastric cancer cells, although CR1 was not.
- The staining intensity of DAF was significantly higher in both differentiated and undifferentiated adenocarcinomas than in histologically normal gastric epithelium.
- In addition, the staining intensity of DAF was greater in gastric cancers showing a diffusely infiltrating growth pattern than in those that showed an expanding growth pattern.
- These data indicate that DAF may play a role in cancer cell infiltration and resistance in tumour cells.
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